

----- Forwarded Message -----

**Subject:**Re: Magnitskiy

**Date:**Tue, 26 Apr 2016 14:42:40 -0700

**From:**Bob <robertotto25@gmail.com>

**To:**John Williams <willijp1@yahoo.com>

Um, huh? What difference does that make?

The actual theft occurs on December 24, 2007. That's the day the tax authority authorized the rebate to the firms illegally reregistered.

Magnitskiy. knew about the illegal re registration of the three companies that applied for the rebate at least as of June 2008. He doesn't mention any theft of budget funds in the June testimony, though.

That comes in October 2008 - 4th page 2nd full para that I already sent.

The site claims that Magnitskiy discovered the theft only in July 2008 and Hermitage filed complaints that same month: see the documents at the bottom of the page.

<http://russian-untouchables.com/eng/230m-theft-from-budget/>

At any rate, Browder may well have gone public before the October testimony, but he claims he got the info from Magnitskiy.

Am I missing something?

Good thing I didn't file a leave slip.

Sent from my iPad

On Apr 26, 2016, at 1:53 PM, John Williams <[willijp1@yahoo.com](mailto:willijp1@yahoo.com)> wrote:

Part of this "reporter's" argument is that Magnitskiy only mentioned the \$230 million tax evasion scheme after Browder raised it publicly. Do you know whether that's true? (passing on this question from elsewhere)

On Tuesday, April 26, 2016 10:32 AM, Bob <[robertotto25@gmail.com](mailto:robertotto25@gmail.com)> wrote:

Karpov and Kuznetsov are mentioned in the June testimony multiple times and Magnitskiy refers back to it in the October document.

As for embellishment, I have a theory/hypothesis that's best left for a face to face chat.