

William Brewster's account of what the Great Migration did to birds (based on his own knowledge of many species) provides a stark and important link with a broader historical context for bird conservation. Read, think, and share about that.

It was crucial that environmental history scholars understand all the ways that birds were affected. That was not just "population" (depopulation), they realized, but "loss" and "transformation" (with an eye to migration shifts as well). That also meant the cultural losses resulting from the loss of birds. As Brewster put it, "the loss of our most beautiful birds" was "a suffering comparable with the loss of our most beautiful flowers."

Environmental history scholar Christopher M. Reynolds also connects bird loss to other aspects of the Great Migration and how it changed the lives of birds. He writes that the migration was not only the loss of birds, but also the loss of the birds' "cultural and historical significance." He writes, "the loss of our most beautiful birds" was "a suffering comparable with the loss of our most beautiful flowers."

There are several ways to read my original recording of Brewster's account in the first video, and several ways to read the original text in the transcript. Through an open license of access, the text was free to Brewster's original text, but I have the opportunity to make changes to the transcript. I have made several changes to the transcript to make it more accurate.

Brewster characterized "the largest" migration as a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration.

The migration was the migration of the Great Migration, and it was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration.

Brewster's migration, however, was a "great" migration, and it was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration.

In fact, the migration was a "great" migration, and it was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration.

The migration was a "great" migration, and it was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration. He wrote, "the largest" migration was a "great" migration, and that migration was a "great" migration.